

Sir,



भारत सरकार/Government of India

परमाणु ऊर्जा विभाग/Department of Atomic Energy

इंदिरा गांधी परमाणु अनुसंधान केंद्र/Indira Gandhi Centre for Atomic Research (IGCAR)

प्रशासन(सतर्कता अनुभाग)/Administration (Vigilance Section)

कल्पाक्कम/Kalpakkam – 603 102 September 21, 2023

Ref: IGCAR/2(96)/2023 -24/Admin(Vig)/ 51 +

विषय: सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 के तहत चाहि गईं सूचना संबंधी| Sub: Information sought under RTI Act, 2005.

--:00000:--

Please refer to your online RTI Application bearing Registration No.IGCAR/R/E/23/00093 received online on 26.08.2023. The reply to the information sought is as under:

S.No.	Information sought	Reply
1.	Name - Kundan kumar	This is not an information as defined under
	Trade - Electronic mechanic	Section 2(f) of RTI Act, 2005. However, it
	Roll no - 45049159	is apprised that a cut-off mark is required
	My score is 86/150 in stage -1 and	to decide "Go/No go" in the skill test. The
	103/150 in stage -2 and 30% in skill	candidates who cleared the skill test are
	test.	empanelled in order of merit based on the
	Hello, sir i want to know that in advt no	marks secured in Stage-2. The
	2/2021 notifiction the skill test was	explanatory note on empanelment of
	qualified only go or no go basis but here	candidates to the post of Stipendiary
	cut off has made that too 70% and	Trainee CAT-II published in the website
	above is it correct? And i want to know	contains all details.
	that the merit which is written in this will	
	be made on stage 2 but here it is made	It may also be noted that the public
	on skill test.	authority under the RTI Act is not
	Tha biggest issue here is that why you	supposed to create information or to
	people did not show the skill test	interpret information or to solve the
	passing marks in the notification and	problems raised by the applicants or to

you made the cut off directly above 70%	furnish reply to hypothetical questions.
in the result.	Only such information can be had under
According to the notification here the	the Act which already exists with the public
skill test was only of qualifying nature	authority. The public Authorities cannot be
which means to bring 30% and you	expected to communicate the reason why
guys have made the result above 70%.	a certain thing was done or not done in the
I request you sir please revise stc-2	sense of a justification. Justifications are
result and prepare result according to	matter within the domain of adjudicating
skill test 30% pass marks.	authorities and cannot be properly
If the result is not revised again, we will	classified as information.
take action on IGCAR.	*

02. यदि सूचना का अधिकार अधिनियम, 2005 की धारा -19 के अनुसार अपील करना चाहते हैं, तो इस पत्र की प्राप्ति के 30 दिनों के भीतर अपील प्राधिकारी, इंगांपअकें को अपील सकते हैं। अपील प्राधिकारी का विवरण निम्नानुसार हैं। An appeal as per section – 19 of the RTI Act, 2005, if any, can be preferred to the Appellate Authority, IGCAR, within 30 days of the receipt of this letter. The details of Appellate Authority

Authority, IGCAR, within 30 days of the receipt of this letter. The details of Appellate Authority are given below:

श्री के.आर .सेत्रामन / Shri K.R. Sethuraman

मुख्य प्रशासनिक अधिकारी एवं उपील प्राधिकारी/ Chief Administrative Officer and Appellate Authority

इंदिरा गांधी परमाण् अनुसंधान केन्द्र/Indira Gandhi Centre for Atomic Research

कल्पाक्कम/Kalpakkam – 603 102

दूरभाष नं. / Tel.No. 044-27480104

ईमेल/Email: <u>cao@igcar.gov.in</u>

भवदीय / Yours faithfully,

-पी .टी माणि (पी .टी .मणि / P. T. Mani)

प्रशासन अधिकारी /Administrative Officer-III & केंद्रीय लोक सूचना अधिकारी /Central Public Information Officer इंदिरा गांधी परमाणु अनुसंधान केंद्र /Indira Gandhi Centre for Atomic Research दूरभाष नं. /Tel. No.: 044-27480364 ईमेल/email: <u>ao1@igcar.gov.in</u>